

SINGLE BENCH

C.F. 1001

6

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3609 Of 2006

PETITIONER

H.D.Mishra S/o Shri S.C.Mishra,
Aged about 49 years, Assistant
Statistical Officer, Integrated
Tribal Development Project,
Ambikapur, District Surguja
(CG).

P.R. No. 3679/06
Presented by Shri S.K. Thomas
dated 18/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

: The State of Chhattisgarh,
through the Secretary, Tribal
and Scheduled Caste
Development Department,
Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (CG).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

COPY

UR

मामला क्रमांक WP.No. 3609 सन् 2006

51

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21-7-2006:- Shri Rakesh Anthony, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner, who is working as Assistant Statistical Officer and posted at the office of Project Administrator, Integrated Tribal Development Project, Ambikapur, District Surguja, has questioned his transfer order dated 4th July 2006, Annexure-P/1, whereby he has been transferred to Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh. This impugned order has been challenged mainly on the ground that the petitioner's daughter namely Suman Lata Mishra, aged about 22 years, is suffering from ailment of seizures. The petitioner is posted at Surguja where the District Hospital is available and the petitioner's daughter is regularly taking treatment at the District Hospital whereas, Dharamjaigarh is a small place where the District Hospital is not available. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation on the medical ground to the respondent and he be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for respondent has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is	

P.T.O.

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

52

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
2-	allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition raising all his grievances to the respondent within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, the respondent shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in an objective manner, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. However, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2301/2006 stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge

Barve

P.T.O.

26/4/06